## REMARKS

The Applicant and the undersigned thank Examiner Hasan for the careful review of this continuation patent application. The Applicant has amended claims, where Claims 1-20 have been cancelled, leaving Claims 21-40 pending in the patent application. Claims 21, 30, and 36 are the three independent claims. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of this application in view of the remarks provided below.

### *Objection to the Drawings*

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. Specifically, the Examiner noted that reference character "525" was used twice in FIG. 5. The Applicant respectfully agrees and have submitted the attached drawing replacement sheet to correct this error. The Applicant also had to correct the corresponding text in the application which referenced two steps "525", in which the second occurrence of prior step "525"is now referred to as step --527--. It is believed that no new matter has been added to either the drawings or the specification with these changes. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the Objection to the Drawings.

### *Objection to the Claims*

The Examiner has objected to a one of the claims. Specifically, the Examiner noted that a few informalities in Claim 21. The Applicant has amended the claims in accordance with the Examiner's helpful comments. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the Objection to the Specification.

### *Claim Interpretation – 35 U.S.C. §112(f)*

The Examiner has interpreted several elements of Claims 30-35 under 35 U.S.C. §112(f) and has interpreted structure(s) in the specification corresponding to these elements. The Applicant generally agrees with the Examiner's interpretation of these elements. However, the Applicant notes that the structure(s) identified by the Examiner are not exhaustive and that other structure(s) (and/or combination of structure(s)) performing these functions, beyond those identified by the Examiner, may be present in the specification, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

### *Non-Statutory Double Patenting*

The Examiner has rejected Claims 21-40 on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Pat. No. 10,559,200, which is commonly owned by the corporate Applicant of the present application. The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection in view of the attached terminal disclaimer and the remarks set forth below.

The Applicant has submitted a terminal disclaimer attached hereto in order to advance this case over the asserted double-patenting rejection. In connection with this terminal disclaimer, the Applicant is also submitting a power of attorney.

While the Applicant may not agree with the Examiner's position about the obviousness between the claims of the present application and the commonly owned U.S. Pat. No. 10,559,200, the Applicant desires to advance prosecution of this case without commenting on this grounds of rejection made by the Examiner. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections are respectfully requested.

### *Inventor Declarations from Parent Case*

The Applicant is also submitting copies of the Inventor Declarations from the parent case. If the Examiner has any questions about these Declarations, please contact the undersigned.

### *INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT – 6 SHEETS*

Applicant cites the information on the attached six sheets of Form PTO-1449, "List of Information Disclosed by Applicant," pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97, and 1.98.

The citation of this information does not constitute an admission of priority or that any cited item is available as a reference, or a waiver of any right the Applicant may have under applicable statutes, Rules of Practice in patent cases, or otherwise.

The IDS attached lists the references cited in the parent case. The Applicant reminds the Examiner that copies of these references are not necessary since the present application claims priority Under 35 U.S.C. 120 to the parent case. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

## CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a full and complete response to the Non-Final Office Action of August 4, 2020. The Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance in view of these amendments and the remarks. Such Action is hereby courteously solicited.

Should the Examiner have any comment regarding the Applicant's response or believe that a teleconference would expedite prosecution of the pending claims, Applicant requests that the Examiner telephone Applicant's undersigned registered patent lawyer listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

**SMITH TEMPEL**
**FOR THE APPLICANT**
**Customer Number 35856**

Date: 7 JANUARY 2021

By: **/SPW/**

Steven P. Wigmore
Direct: (678) 775-8788
Reg. No. 40,447
Registered U.S. Patent Lawyer
Former USPTO Utility Examiner

SMITH TEMPEL
50 GLENLAKE PARKWAY
SUITE 340
ATLANTA, GA 30328 - USA
TELEPHONE: (678) 361-4915
FACSIMILE: (770) 804-0900