REMARKS

Claims 1-26 are pending in this Application. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-12, 19, and 24-26 are currently amended herein. Independent claims 1, 25, and 26 are amended to incorporate features of the allowable subject-matter of claim 19. Accordingly, claims 1-26 will be pending upon entry of this response. Based on the above Amendments and the following Remarks, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of all outstanding rejections.

April 16, 2026 Telephone Interview

Applicant thanks Examiner Doung for the courtesy shown to Applicant's representative during the telephone interview on April, 2026. During the interview, a proposed amendment incorporating the allowable subject matter of claim 19 was discussed. Examiner Doung indicated a willingness to consider the proposed amendment in an amendment. No agreement was reached. The amendments are presented in the Amendments herein for the Examiner's consideration. Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned with any suggestions for placing this application in condition for allowance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims (Office action at page 3). Applicant thanks Examiner Duong for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 24-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as allegedly anticipated by Seo (US 2013/0321340 A1).

In the interest of advancing prosecution and without acquiescing to these rejections, claim 1 is amended herein to incorporate features of the allowable subject matter of claim 19.

The reference Seo fails to teach, disclose, or suggest the recitations of claim 1 as currently amended herein. For example, amended claim 1 recites, in part, "…in response to receiving the input, updating the first display in accordance with the input to include respective content along with the auxiliary content, wherein the respective content was not displayed prior to receiving the input; *while concurrently displaying the respective content and the auxiliary content on the first display, detecting that a relative angle between the first display and the second display has increased above a threshold angle; and in response to detecting that the relative angle between the first display and the second display has increased above the threshold angle, updating the first display to cease displaying the auxiliary content on the first display while maintaining display of the respective content*." (Emphasis added.)

Accordingly, at least for the reasons given above, claim 1 as currently amended, and each claim depending therefrom, is patentable over Seo. As such, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of these rejections.

In addition, claims 25 and 25 are currently amended herein to reflect the amendments to method claim 1. Accordingly, claims 25 and 26 as currently amended, and each claim depending therefrom, are patentable for at least similar reasons as presented above with respect to claim 1.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

Claims 3-4 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Seo (US 2013/0321340 A1) in further view of Graham (US 2014/0164365 A1). Claims 7 and 13-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Seo in further view of multiple embodiments of Seo.

Claims 3-4 and 8-12, and 7 and 13-18 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 1. As discussed above, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 19, which the Office Action has indicated would be allowable. The applied references, including Seo and Graham, do not teach or suggest these additional limitations. Accordingly, the rejection of claims

3-4 and 8-12, and 7 and 13-18 under 35 U.S.C. §103 is respectfully traversed and are no longer applicable in view of the amendments to claim 1.

CONCLUSION

By responding in the foregoing remarks only to particular positions asserted by the Examiner, Applicant does not necessarily acquiesce in other positions that have not been explicitly addressed. In addition, Applicant's arguments for the patentability of a claim should not be understood as implying that no other reasons for the patentability of that claim exist.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If it is determined that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, Applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. **602925** referencing Docket No. **106842163102 (P35542USC2)**. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: April 20, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By /Erol C. Basol/
Erol C. Basol
Registration No. 65,150
KUBOTA & BASOL LLP
601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2140
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 408-0792